Combined inhibition of atypical PKC and histone deacetylase 1 is cooperative in basal cell carcinoma treatment
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Introduction

Basal cell carcinomas (BCCs) require high levels of hedgehog (HH) signaling for survival and growth (1–4). Activation of the HH pathway involves the HH ligand binding to Patched-1, thereby relieving inhibition of Smoothened (SMO). This results in activation of the GLI family of transcription factors, which ultimately promote transcription of HH target genes, including Gli1 itself. SMO inhibitors have recently been FDA approved for BCC treatment, but drug resistance has emerged as a significant problem (1, 2, 5). As BCCs uniformly depend on the HH pathway for growth (2), resistant BCCs evolve to circumvent pharmacological blockade at the level of SMO using pathway-intrinsic mutations as well as noncanonical mechanisms of GLI activation (2, 3).

Recently, we identified atypical PKC (aPKC) overactivation as a powerful mechanism of drug resistance in BCC (3). aPKC phosphorylation of the GLI1 zinc-finger domain results in chromatin association, gene transcription, and HH pathway activation downstream of inputs from SMO and Patched-1. Furthermore, GLI promotes transcription of aPKC, forming another positive feedback loop. Overactivation of this noncanonical HH signaling pathway drives pathway activation and vismodegib escape in advanced BCC (3). Small-molecule inhibitors of aPKC, allosteric (6) or orthosteric (7), are in development but have not been applied to treat BCC.

GLI proteins are further regulated, downstream of SMO, through acetylation by p300 and subsequent deacetylation. The deacetylation of GLI1/2 at K518 and K757, respectively, by histone deacetylase 1/2 (HDAC1/2) is a critical step in the nuclear maturation process of GLI transcription factors required for chro-
matin association and gene transcription (8). HDAC1 is itself a transcriptional target of GLI, creating a third positive feedback loop of HH signaling. Of particular interest, HDAC inhibition has been proposed for the treatment of many HH-driven cancers (8–11). HDAC inhibitors block growth and promote apoptosis by altering the histone-DNA complex and by altering the acetylation status of nonhistone proteins (12). Vorinostat, a class I/II HDAC inhibitor, is currently FDA approved for the treatment of cutaneous lymphoma (13). Unfortunately, HDAC inhibition has been hampered by its broadly cytotoxic nature.

De novo drug discovery remains challenging due to the lack of validated targets and the cost of clinical development (14). To address this problem, we utilized an in silico drug repositioning approach to identify existing FDA-approved drugs for applications based on gene expression profiles (15). This approach has been successfully used to predict novel therapeutics for lung cancer (16), Crohn’s disease (17), and, most recently, dermatomyositis (18).

Here, we utilized this repositioning approach for BCC and identify HDAC inhibition as a powerful therapy for BCC in vitro and in vivo. We found that effectively halting GLI deacetylation via vorinostat alone is clinically unachievable. To address this shortcoming, we further characterized the mechanism of GLI1-HDAC1 interaction. We found that aPKC activity recruits HDAC1 to GLI1, linking two powerful positive feedback loops in the HH pathway. We found that combined therapy targeting both HDAC1 and aPKC halts the nuclear maturation of GLI1 and antagonizes BCCs at lower doses than either drug alone. However, this therapeutic strategy would remain irrelevant without the development of a clinically useful small-molecule inhibitor of aPKC. We identify an ATP-competitive aPKC small-molecule inhibitor that demonstrates an order of magnitude of improvement in potency over previous generation orthosteric (7) and allosteric (6) inhibitors, with high bioavailability and efficacy in BCC. We demonstrate that the use of this compound along with vorinostat can effectively disrupt the aPKC-HDAC1 axis in BCC.

Results

Drug repositioning identifies vorinostat for BCC treatment. The RNA expression patterns of early (19) and advanced (6) BCCs were compared with normal skin samples to generate a core set of genes altered in early-stage and advanced BCCs, respectively (Figure 1A; Supplemental Methods; Supplemental Figures 1 and 2; and Supplemental Tables 1 and 2; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.97071DS1). The resulting BCC signatures were integrated with gene expression data from over 1,100 FDA-approved drugs contained in the Library of Integrated Cellular Signature (LINCS) to identify compounds that reverse the disease gene expression signature. Predicted therapeutics were identified independently for advanced and early-stage BCCs (Supplemental Tables 3 and 4 and Supplemental Figures 3 and 4) and subsequently intersected to predict therapeutics with efficacy for both early-stage and advanced BCCs (Supplemental Figure 5). This approach identified the HDAC inhibitor, vorinostat, as a top candidate therapy (Figure 1, A–C, and Supplemental Figure 5). Vorinostat and two other drug candidates, rosiglitazone and tacrolimus, were screened for their ability to suppress the growth of BCC allografts obtained from a murine BCC model (Ptch1–/–; p53–/–) (20). Of these drug candidates, vorinostat was the only drug found to suppress BCC tumor growth in vivo (Supplemental Figure 6).

To study the efficacy of HDAC inhibition on BCCs in vitro, a murine BCC cell line (ASZ) (21) resistant to SMO inhibitors but dependent on GLI1 was treated with increasing doses of vorinostat, a class-I/II HDAC inhibitor, and parthenolide, a HDAC1-specific inhibitor (22). Vorinostat treatment resulted in a dose-dependent reduction in HH signaling, as assayed by qPCR of Gli1 mRNA, a canonical target gene of the HH pathway (Figure 1D), and by GLI1 protein (Supplemental Figure 7). Similarly, parthenolide produced a dose-dependent reduction in Gli1 mRNA (Figure 1D). To determine which HDACs mediate the GLI1 suppression by vorinostat, we assayed target gene transcription across a panel of HDAC inhibitors over a 1-hour treatment window. All HDAC1/2 inhibitors produced significant reductions in target gene transcription, while HDAC3-specific inhibitor RGFP966 had no effect on HH output (Figure 1E). To specifically target HDAC1/2, we performed genetic knockdown against HDAC1 and HDAC2 by siRNA and observed strong inhibition of pathway output (Supplemental Figure 8). Taken together, these data indicate that vorinostat inhibits HH signaling primarily through its repression of HDAC1/2 activity.

HDAC inhibitor treatment resulted in significant BCC cell death within 48 hours (Figure 1, F and G). However, the doses required to achieve robust HH inhibition and cell death were substantially higher than the reported IC50 of the drugs, resulting in toxicity to normal keratinocytes at the same doses (Supplemental Figure 9). Similarly, vorinostat treatment administered in vivo on BCC allografts
Figure 1. HDAC inhibition suppresses BCC growth in vitro and in vivo. (A) Schematic of generation of BCC expression signature, followed by drug-repositioning analysis using LINCS. (B) Heatmap of expression changes in landmark genes in advanced BCC and expression changes following drug treatment. Select perturbagens are depicted. (C) Ranked anticorrelation score (cmap score) of all assay perturbagens following LINCS analysis ranked by score. HH target gene transcript dose response to vorinostat and parthenolide (D) in ASZ cells with vorinostat IC_{50}, represented by dotted line (n = 9; ANOVA), or across a panel of HDAC1/2 targeting inhibitors (blue) or HDAC3 (gray) over an acute time course (n = 9; ANOVA) (E). Gli1 transcript measured by qRT-PCR...
and normalized against *HPRT1*. Relative ASZ cell growth measured by Real-Time Glo Reagent following vorinostat (F) and parthenolide (G) treatment (*n* = 3 per time point; 2-way ANOVA; significance is only illustrated for *t* > 20 hours for clarity). (H) Tumor size measurements following vorinostat treatment of mouse BCCs and (I) *Gli1* mRNA measured by qPCR (*n* = 6; 2-way ANOVA and Student’s *t* test, respectively). All control measurements are black, while HDAC inhibitor treatment measurements are shades of blue, varying in concentration, as indicated (*n* = 1) or to differentiate inhibitors (D and E). (J) Log, fold change of normalized read counts from RNA sequencing of murine BCC allografts treated with vorinostat (*n* = 2) or PSI (*n* = 1) as compared with untreated controls (*n* = 2). Error bars represent SEM. *P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001; P value calculation as in ref. 15.

showed only partial efficacy in preventing tumor progression. Twice daily administration of 100 mg/kg vorinostat resulted only in tumor growth stasis (Figure 1H) and only a partial decrease in *Gli1* mRNA (Figure 1I; *P* = 0.46). The requirement for such high doses of drug with only partial HH suppression limits the clinical usefulness of vorinostat for BCCs as a monoagent.

Another explanation for the partial efficacy of vorinostat in BCC is that the bulk of its effect results in non-HH-related inhibition. To determine if the in vivo change in tumor growth was a result of genome-wide HH pathway inhibition, we performed RNA sequencing on murine BCCs that responded to treatment. We assessed gene expression changes in tumors treated with vorinostat or PSI, an aPKC inhibitor that we previously demonstrated reduces HH target gene transcription in BCC (3). We observe a striking overlap of significant differentially expressed genes (*P* < 0.05) between the two treatments groups, indicating that vorinostat inhibits HH transcriptional output genome wide (Figure 1J). Therefore we conclude that vorinostat acts through HH suppression in BCC, but adequate suppression requires intolerable levels of drug.

**aPKC promotes GLI1 deacetylation by HDAC1.** In order to broaden the therapeutic window of vorinostat, we queried the LINCS database with drug candidates that could be used in combination with vorinostat. Because our RNA-sequencing analysis demonstrated significant similarities in gene expression changes correlated with vorinostat and PSI treatment, we went on to determine the significance of this overlap (Figure 1J). We recently identified overexpression of aPKC as a powerful mechanism of drug resistance in advanced BCCs (3). Genetic depletion of aPKC, results in profound HH pathway inhibition in ASZ cells (Supplemental Figure 10). Comparing a gene set of 3,171 transcripts altered in a BCC cell line treated with PSI with data in the LINCS database, we observed a strong correlation in expression changes between aPKC inhibition and many HDAC inhibitors (Figure 2A and Supplemental Tables 5 and 6). This observation, coupled with previous data demonstrating that inhibition of aPKC (23) or HDAC1 (8, 11) results in an abolishment of GLI1’s chromatin affinity, as assayed by ChIP without perturbing its nuclear-cytoplasmic trafficking, led us to the hypothesis that aPKC and HDAC1 work in concert to modulate GLI1’s transcriptional status.

We therefore sought to investigate the epistatic relationship between aPKC and the acetylation/deacetylation machinery of GLI1 by rescue experiments. ASZ cells were transiently transfected to overexpress HDAC1 or p300. Cell viability in these two populations was measured over 24 hours to confirm consistency. Half of each population was subjected to PSI after 24 hours and observed for changes in cell viability. Overexpression of HDAC1 rescued ASZ cells from aPKC inhibition by PSI (Figure 2B and Supplemental Figure 11), while p300-overexpressing cells remained sensitive to PSI (Figure 2C and Supplemental Figure 11). These results place aPKC downstream of p300 and upstream of HDAC1 in the nuclear maturation pathway of GLI1.

Given the position of aPKC between p300 and HDAC1 and our previous studies showing that aPKC does not affect GLI protein stability or nuclear localization (3), we hypothesized that aPKC promotes GLI1 deacetylation by HDAC1 recruitment. Consistent with this model, we found that the association between GLI1 and HDAC1 depends on aPKC’s activity. Pharmacological aPKC inhibition disrupted the GLI1-HDAC1 interaction in the context of overexpression in HEK 293T and ASZ cells (Figure 2, E and F) or at endogenous levels in ASZ cells (Supplemental Figure 12). aPKC inhibition did not perturb the interaction of another regulator of GLI1, Suppressor of Fused (SuFu), confirming the specificity of the GLI1-HDAC1 effect (Figure 2E). Furthermore, proximity ligation assays detected an interaction between endogenous GLI1 and HDAC1, with the loss of a specific nuclear interaction upon aPKC inhibition in ASZ cells (Figure 2D). GLI1 retained nuclear localization upon aPKC inhibition (Supplemental Figure 13). These data suggest that aPKC plays an active role in recruiting HDAC1 to GLI1.

Next, we investigated if aPKC’s control of the GLI1-HDAC1 interaction modulates GLI1 deacetylation. Compared with WT cells, cells with overexpression of an acetyl-deficient GLI1 (K518R), the major site for GLI1 acetylation, were resistant to aPKC inhibition (Figure 2G; *P* < 0.0001). Conversely, aPKC inhibition resulted in GLI1 hyperacetylation, as measured by immunoblotting with an acetyl lysine antibody following FLAG-GLI1 immunoprecipitation (Figure 2H). Thus, aPKC controls GLI1 acetylation by modifying HDAC1 recruitment.
Combined inhibition of HDAC1 and aPKC robustly inhibits BCC growth. As aPKC and HDAC1 act sequentially to activate GLI1 and both form positive feedback loops with GLI1 (8, 23), we reasoned that inhibition of both would decrease the capacity of either positive feedback loop to rescue cells from pharmacological blockade (Figure 3A). Clinically, this would decrease the effective doses, both improving efficacy and broadening the effective range for vorinostat. Indeed, combination therapy of vorinostat or parthenolide with PSI resulted in increased suppression of GLI1 protein levels at lower doses of vorinostat (Figure 3B). Consistent with a linear pathway, high-dose treatments of vorinostat
alone converged with combination therapy vorinostat and PSI in suppressing Gli1 mRNA (Figure 3C and Supplemental Figure 14) in BCC cells. The combinatorial effect of vorinostat or parthenolide with PSI displayed a significant reduction of ASZ cell viability (Figure 3, D and E) at doses low enough to leave keratinocyte growth unaffected (Supplemental Figure 15), revealing a putative therapeutic window. We assessed the combination strategy in vivo by treating BCC allografts with subthreshold drug doses. Tumor-bearing mice were treated with half the previous dose of vorinostat (50 mg/kg twice a day) in combination with subthreshold topical PSI administration. Notably, the combination therapy outpaced monotherapy in inhibiting the HH pathway in vivo (Figure 3F), which corresponded with improved outcomes in terms of tumor size (Figure 3G). Mice receiving combination therapy demonstrated no observable adverse effects, changes in weight (Supplemental Figure 16), or further hematological abnormalities (Supplemental Table 7) compared with monotherapy.

To determine the efficacy of this pharmacological blockade on disruption of the core set of genetic drivers of BCC, we assessed its effect on the BCC signature (Figure 1, A and B). Using the RNA-sequencing data from murine tumors treated with PSI and vorinostat (Figure 1J), we observed a corrective gene expression signature following both vorinostat and PSI treatment (Figure 3H). This indicates that the treatment strategy effectively targets the majority of the molecular drivers of BCC.

We confirmed the efficacy of this treatment strategy on human disease with the use of a human BCC cell line and patient-derived BCC explants. Combination treatment on a human BCC cell line (19) (UW-BCC1) outpaced monotherapy of equivalent doses in terms of cell death, consistent with our murine BCC cell line (Supplemental Figure 17). However, cell lines only approximate human disease. Therefore, we collected primary BCCs from patients undergoing Mohs surgical operations and cultured them ex vivo for 6 hours while treating the samples pharmacologically. PSI, a myristoylated peptide, has poor tissue penetrance properties. To enhance the tissue penetrance of PSI, we fused it to a “TAT” delivery peptide (Supplemental Figure 18 and refs. 24, 25). TAT-PSI behaved similarly to PSI in terms of BCC cell line viability and aPKC activity assayed by phospho-MARK2 (Supplemental Figure 18). Remarkably, combination treatment with vorinostat and TAT-PSI resulted in a >85% reduction in HH target gene abundance in these patient tumors (Figure 3I).

Development of a small-molecule aPKC inhibitor makes nuclear GLI targetable. Because peptide-based inhibitors of aPKC, such as PSI, are not clinically useful at this time, we sought to identify a novel small-molecule inhibitor of PKCι with suitable pharmacokinetic properties for clinical application. CRT0329868 is an azaquinazoline ATP-competitive PKCι inhibitor that was derived from the previously reported PKCι inhibitor CRT0066854 through structure-based design. Biochemical PKCι enzymatic inhibitory activity was confirmed using an IMAP fluorescence polarization (FP) progressive binding assay against recombinant aPKCι to determine a biochemical IC_{50} of 8.4 nM on PKCι (Figure 4A and Supplemental Figure 19), which is a >10-fold improvement over the first-generation ATP-competitive inhibitor CRT0066854 (IC_{50} 86 nM) (7). To obtain a direct readout of cellular PKCι activity, we used a previously reported proximal phosphorylation assay expressing a constitutively active mutant of PKCι and FLAG-LLGL2 (7). The cellular IC_{50} was 34 nM, as assayed by ELISA of LLGL2 S650/654 phosphorylation (Figure 4A and Supplemental Figure 20), again over an order of magnitude improvement over CRT0066854 (IC_{50} 870 nM). To determine kinase selectivity, an expanded selectivity assessment was performed at >100-fold the biochemical IC_{50} (1 μM) against a diverse panel of 71 lipid and protein kinase targets. We found that CRT0329868 was highly specific to aPKCι, with a selectivity score of 4.2% (Figure 4, A and B). Selectivity scores were calculated as the kinases with % inhibition relative to control > 90%/number of kinases tested at a test concentration of 1 μM. CRT0329868 also demonstrated favorable pharmacokinetic properties, with an oral bioavailability of 99%, a moderate plasma half-life (t_{1/2} = 1.2 h), moderate plasma clearance (67 ml/min/kg), and moderate volume of distribution (6.5 l/kg) when dosed at 1 mg/kg i.v. and 10 mg/kg p.o. to CD-1 nude mice. Taken together, CRT0329868 represents a clinically viable aPKC inhibitor that could be implemented in BCC.

We determined the efficacy of CRT0329868 in BCC by assaying its effect on BCC cell viability and HH target gene transcription. We assayed the effect of CRT0329868 on the viability of our BCC cell lines. A dose-dependent response was observed with near complete cell death after 1 day of treatment at 10 μM in all cell lines (Figure 4C). We observed a dose-dependent decrease in HH target gene transcript in ASZ cells, with near complete inhibition at 5 μM (Figure 4D).

Finally, we assess if CRT0329868 can be used in combination with vorinostat to extend vorinostat’s
Figure 3. aPKC inhibition complements HDAC inhibition in vitro and in vivo. (A) Schematic of combination therapy rationale. (B) Western blot of Gli1 from ASZ cells, following drug treatment and serum withdrawal. Replicates are quantified after normalization against tubulin (n = 3). Additional P values (ANOVA) provided for vorinostat versus combination therapy quantify differences. (C) qRT-PCR of Gli1 mRNA normalized to HPRT1 in ASZ cells following drug treatment (n = 3; 2-way ANOVA and linear regression). (D) and (E) ASZ cell growth following drug treatment, as measured by
therapeutic window. Vorinostat shifted the CRT0329868 GLI inhibition curve, demonstrating an additive effect at low doses (Figure 4D). Patient-derived BCC explants were cultured ex vivo and subsequently treated with vorinostat and CRT0329868. After 24 hours, monotherapies produced significant reductions in GLI1 RNA, but combination treatment produced the greatest reduction in HH output (Figure 4E). Taken together, CRT0329868 makes targeting the aPKC-HDAC1 axis in BCCs clinically viable.

Discussion

Here, we demonstrate the potential of computational drug repositioning to identify combination therapies for BCCs. Such an approach represents a rational step-wise genome-wide effort to broaden the therapeutic windows for existing drugs through combination testing, allowing them to have more diverse clinical efficacy and usage. An additional benefit is the elucidation of drug mechanisms, which will lead to additional drug targets. Our study identifies aPKC as a priming kinase for the deacetylation of GLI1 by HDAC1, providing unexpected insights into GLI1 transcription factor nuclear maturation. The development of a small-molecule inhibitor of aPKC moves this study from the theoretical to the realm of clinical possibility. Our work demonstrates in vitro and in vivo that the pharmacological inhibition of a priming kinase in combination with the use of epigenetic inhibitors is an effective strategy to increase their therapeutic window. Pharmacological blockade of the aPKC-HDAC1 axis in BCCs represents a robust strategy to inhibit HH activity in the nucleus, leaving fewer avenues for drug resistance to develop.

Methods

Gene expression profiling. Processed gene expression data from sporadic early-stage BCCs and normal skin was obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO GSE6520). The Limma package from R Bioconductor was used to analyze differentially expressed genes in 23 BCCs versus 8 normal skin controls (P ≤ 0.05) (Supplemental Figure 2 and Supplemental Table 2).

For advanced BCCs, total RNA was isolated from advanced BCCs (6) and normal skin biopsies (5). cDNA was prepared with the Ovation RNA-Seq System (NuGEN) followed by sequencing on an Illumina 2500 platform as previously described (2). Sequencing data has been deposited in GEO (GSE58377). TopHat was used to map the raw data to the human genome (hg19) and to quantify the counts per gene. The count data were used to perform differential expression analysis using the R package, DESeq. 138 transcripts with an adjusted P < 0.05 were considered differentially expressed (Supplemental Figure 1 and Supplemental Table 1).

Computational repositioning for drug discovery. To discover potential new therapeutic agents for advanced BCC, we applied a systematic drug repositioning bioinformatics approach, querying a large compendium of gene expression profiles to identify candidate FDA-approved drugs. This approach ranks potential drug therapies based on how strongly the drug’s gene expression signature opposes the disease signature. This method produces a negative score when the drug signature is oppositional to the disease signature and a positive score when they are concordant. This algorithm was developed Sirota et al. (15) and has been used successfully to identify novel therapeutics for small-cell lung cancer and inflammatory bowel disease.

Each gene signature from advanced BCCs and sporadic BCCs was independently integrated with gene expression data from US FDA-approved and investigational drugs contained in LINCS to identify a list of potential therapeutic targets. The LINCS database was downloaded as of September 2013 (26). The database included 66,510 high-quality gene expression profiles consisting of 12,442 distinct compounds (including 1,121 FDA-approved/investigational drugs.) In LINCS, 978 landmark genes were profiled, and the expression of the remaining genome was imputed. In our previous study, we found that using expression of landmark genes had a better performance in correlating chemical structure and gene expression that using imputed expression (26). We thus decided to use landmark genes only in the drug prediction. Further details on the computational method are detailed elsewhere (15). In total 16 candidate drugs were predicted for early BCCs and 29 for advanced BCCs (P < 0.001) (Supplemental Tables 3 and 4). Landmark genes involved in the
prediction are displayed (Supplemental Figures 3 and 4). We intersected the predicted therapeutics to identify
drugs candidates that would treat both early-stage and advanced BCCs. The drugs, vorinostat, geldanamycin,
and isoliquiritigenin, were predicted to treat both early-stage and advanced BCC (Supplemental Figure 5).

Prediction of combination therapies. To determine transcripts altered by PSI treatment, we applied
the R package DESeq to perform differential gene expression analysis of RNA-sequencing data from
duplicates of ASZ cells treated with PSI as compared with DMSO-treated controls as previously
described (3). To identify chemical and genetic modulators that are correlated with PSI treatment, we
identified a set of 3,171 transcripts altered with PSI treatment as compared with DMSO-treated con-
trols (Supplemental Table 5). This signature was used to query against expression data from all 3,094
chemical compound perturbagens in the LINCS data set.

Whole-genome expression profiles were inferred from changes in the expression of 1,000 landmark genes
as previously described (27). Changes in gene expression resulting from each of the perturbations were rank
ordered from highest to lowest according to their differential expression relative to control treatments. Changes
in gene expression caused by the PSI signature were compared with the cataloged expression profiles. Profiles that are positively correlated with the Query Signature were given a positive score, whereas profiles that were negatively correlated to the Query Signature were given a negative score (Supplemental Table 6). A connectivity score (mean_rankpt_2) was assigned to each of the expression profiles basis of a weighted Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic as previously described (27).

Mouse BCC model. BCC tumor cells from a single PTCH+/–, K14Cre+/–, p53 fl/fl mouse were passaged and suspended in Matrigel (Fisher 354234). Cells were injected subcutaneously in 2 sites on immunodeficient NOD/SCID mice, with 2 × 10⁶ cells per site at 9 weeks of age. Two mice (4 tumors) were enrolled in each treatment arm (control, PSI only, vorinostat only, and PSI plus vorinostat). Treatments were administered twice daily, 5 times a week over 14 days. Vorinostat was administered by i.p. injection in DMSO at 50 mg/kg, and PSI was administered topically in DMSO at 0.8 mg/kg. Solutions were divided into single-use aliquots and stored at –20°C.

Antibodies. The following antibodies were used: GLI1 (Cell Signaling, 2534S [immunoblotting]; R&D, AF3455 [immunofluorescence]; Santa Cruz, sc-6152 [immunoprecipitation]; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, PCRP-Gli1-I66 [immunoblotting]); Tubulin (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, E7-c); HDAC1 (Santa Cruz, sc-7872); SuFu (Abcam, ab28083); HNF1 (Santa Cruz, sc-8986); acetylated-lysine (Cell Signaling, 9441S); and anti-MARK2 (phospho T595) antibody (Abcam, ab34751).

Drugs. The following drugs were used: vorinostat (APEX BIO, A4084); parthenolide (Santa Cruz, sc-3523); PSI (Tocris, 2549).

Quantitative PCR. RNA was isolated using Qiagen’s RNeasy Mini Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The TaqMan RNA-to-CT 1-Step Kit (Thermo Fisher) was used for reverse transcription and quantitative PCR. TaqMan Gene Expression Assay Probes (Life Technologies, GLI1; FAM-MGB probe Mm00494654_m1) and Hprt (Mm01545399_m1) were used in an assay-dependent concentration.

Cell culture. ASZ001 (ASZ) BCC cells were cultured in 154CF media (Life Technologies) supplemented with 2% chelated fetal bovine serum, Human Keratinocyte Growth Supplement (Thermo Fisher), Penn-Strep, and 0.05 mM CaCl₂. Experiments assaying HH signaling were carried out in serum-free conditions. NIH-3T3, HaCaT, and HEK-293T cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. Unless otherwise specified, PSI was administered at 5 μM. NIH-3T3, HaCaT, and HEK293T cells were obtained from ATCC, and ASZ001 cells were a gift from the lab of Ervin Epstein.

Mammalian cell transfection was performed using FuGENE 6 Transfection Reagent (Promega), Lipofectamine LTX with Plus Reagent (Thermo Fisher), and the MEF 1 Nucleofector Kit (Lonza) per the manufacturer’s protocols. Transient transfection mammalian expression vectors included pcS2HA and pcS2FLAG. Stable expression produced by lentiviral vector pLEX infection was supplemented with Polybrene Reagent (Millipore).

Viability assays were performed using the RealTime-Glo MT Cell Viability Assay (Promega) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Luminescence was measured by the SpectraMax M5 plate reader (Molecular Devices) at 37°C over a 1.5-second interval.

We conducted regular PCR-based mycoplasmic contamination tests and disposed of any contaminated cells.

Immunoblotting. Whole-cell extracts were harvested using radioimmunoprecipitation (RIPA) buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche) and run on gradient SDS-PAGE gels (Life Technologies), followed by being transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Primary antibodies were used in an assay-dependent concentration in 5% Bovine Serum Albumin in TBST. Fluorescent secondary antibodies compatible with Odyssey CLx (Licor) were used for imaging.

Fractionation. To prepare nuclear extracts, cells were resuspended in hypotonic lysis buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, and 10 mM KCl) and Dounce homogenized. Isolated nuclei were pelleted and resuspended in RIPA buffer.

Immunoprecipitation. Cell lysates were prepared in 1% Triton X-100 in TBS supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche). Anti-FLAG M2 Magnetic Beads (MilliporeSigma) and Pierce Anti-HA Magnetic Beads (Thermo Fisher) were preblocked in 5% BSA prior to immunoprecipitation. Washes were carried out at room temperature in lysis buffer.

Immunofluorescence. Cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS and prepared per a general immunofluorescence protocol (abcam). Primary antibodies were used at the manufacturers’ recommended concentration (1:500, DSHB, E7). We used the following fluorescent-labeled secondary antibodies: Alexa Fluor 488, Alexa
Fluor 555, Alexa Fluor 594, and Alexa Fluor 647 (1:500, Life Technologies). Confocal imaging was carried out using a Leica SP8 microscope equipped with an adjustable white light laser and hybrid detectors. Proximity ligation assays were conducted per the manufacturer’s protocol (Duolink/MilliporeSigma).

**Human explant.** Central biopsies of clinically diagnosed BCC tumors from Mohs surgery patients at the Stanford Dermatology Clinic were quartered and cultured in EpiLife Media (Life Technologies) plus CaCl₂ for 6 hours, prior to RNA extraction.

**Biochemical kinase assay.** The ability of compounds to inhibit the kinase activity of recombinant human baculovirus-expressed full-length PKCι was measured using the IMAP FP progressive binding system (Molecular Devices R8127) in 384-well black, nonbinding, flat-bottom assay plates (Corning 3575). The assay mixture (final volume = 10 μl) contained 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 μM ATP, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.01% Triton X-100, 250 μM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 15 μM PKCι (EMD Millipore 14-505), 100 nM FAM-PKCε-pseudosubstrate (Molecular Devices RP7548), 0.1% DMSO, and various concentrations of test compounds. Compound dilutions (prepared in 100% DMSO) were added to the assay plate at 100 nl using the BioMek NX pin tool (Beckman Coulter). Enzyme reactions were initiated by the addition of ATP (MilliporeSigma A7699), followed by incubation of the plates for 1 hour in a 25°C incubator. A 20-μl aliquot of IMAP detection reagent (1:400 in 85% 1X Binding Buffer A and 15% 1X Binding Buffer B) was added to each well, followed by a 2-hour incubation at 25°C. FP was then measured using the PerkinElmer Envision 2102 multi-label plate reader (PerkinElmer) using the FP dual mirror, FP480 excitation filter, and P-pol 535 and S-pol 535 emission filters. Data analysis was performed using ActivityBase (IDBS). IC₅₀ values were calculated by plotting the percentage inhibition versus log₁₀ of the concentration of the compound and fitting to the 4-parameter logistic model (top and bottom constrained to 100 and 0, respectively) in XLFit 4 (IDBS).

**Kinase specificity assay.** The Invitrogen SelectScreen Biochemical Kinase Profiling Service using the Z’-LYTE Screening Assay was used against a panel of 71 kinases at a test concentration of 1 μM. Selectivity score were calculated as kinases inhibited >90% divided by total kinases assayed. CRT0329868 demonstrated a high degree of selectivity in this panel, with a selectivity score of 0.042.

**RNA sequencing.** RNA was isolated from mouse BCC allografts using the Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Library preparation and sequencing was performed by the Stanford Genomics Core Facility.

**Development of CRT0329868.** CRT0329868 was developed by JR using structure-based design as reported previously (28). CRT0329868 is referred to as compound 1253 in the patent. At time of publication, CRT0329868 may be obtained through an MTA from Cancer Research Technology (JR).

**siRNA.** Predesigned MilliporeSigma MISSION siRNAs against selected targets were transfected using Lipofectamine RNAiMax reagent. The following siRNA products from Sigma were used: HDAC1 (SASI_Mm02_00313417, SASI_Mm01_00159502, SASI_Mm01_00159497), HDAC2 (SASI_Mm01_00100698, SASI_Mm01_00100699, SASI_Mm01_00100700), and aPKC iota (SASI_Mm01_00134911, SASI_Mm01_001349113).

**Statistics.** Significance was determined by 2-tailed t test or ANOVA, as indicated in the figure legends. A P value of less than 0.05 was used to determine significance.

**Study approval.** This study was approved by the Stanford University Institutional Review Board (protocol 18325), with a waiver of consent from participants.
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